

## Rubric for Taking Digital Notes In Inspiration

<b>Criteria</b>	<b>Exemplar</b>	<b>Amazing</b>	<b>Needs Work</b>	<b>Unacceptable</b>
<b>Grade Ranges</b>	<b>96-98</b>	<b>90-95</b>	<b>80-89</b>	<b>0-79</b>
<b>Comprehensiveness</b>	Covers all the topics we discussed in extensive detail; provides additional material, and links or sources. Appears you can explain the material in great detail to others	Covers all the topics we discussed in sufficient detail. Appears you have reviewed the material, or have a good grasp of the concepts	Would prefer more detail or better coverage of topics – not clear that you have reviewed all topics, or understand all topics	Very little notes or missing many topics – not clear if you misunderstood the topics, poorly managed your time, or put in any effort. Didn't bother to check with friends for topics missed
<b>Use of Graphics</b>	Graphics very specifically focused to each topic; right sized; cropped	Clear, relevant graphics; well edited	Some graphics could be improved, edited, or be more topical	Missing many graphics or sloppy or overuse of clip art
<b>Detailed Notes for Each Node</b>	Extremely well written in your own words, very detailed but concise, well organized	Sufficient detail in each topic, well written in your own words	Needs more detail, and/or editing in several topics	Really sparse details, or poor writing or you copied / pasted from the Internet or someone else's work
<b>Aesthetics</b>	Looks professional – a delight to read	Nicely organized and well documented – good job	Could use more reorganization, rework or nodes or text – could be improved	Sloppy or no effort

This rubric may also be used to grade interim or draft versions of notes, and grade will be 'extrapolated' based on work done to date, and expected progress.

All mindmap rules apply (no clipart, cite graphics with URL, cite research with MLA citation in notes)

Late work will be evaluated at the discretion of the teacher, and will receive a lower grade.